

Enhancing the Financial Viability of Environment Sustainable Government (ESG) Bonds for MSME and SME in India - An Empirical Analysis

Gahana G Shanbhag⁽¹⁾, Aditya Aadya⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ PGDM student, Indus Business Academy, Bangalore

⁽²⁾ PGDM student, Indus Business Academy, Bangalore

Abstract

This study investigates the financial viability, awareness, and adoption drivers of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) bonds among Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in India. Employing a mixed-methods empirical design, the research integrates a comprehensive review of 103 secondary papers with primary data from 150 MSMEs nationwide. Utilizing stratified random sampling by size, sector, and region, with a 40% geographic focus on Karnataka, the study combines quantitative questionnaires on adoption metrics with qualitative interviews detailing financial viability, cost of capital, and expected ROI. The analysis reveals a severe "cost-of-capital viability trap": firms with high baseline borrowing costs (9.21%) are mathematically deterred from adopting ESG bonds compared to early adopters (7.97%). Logistic regression demonstrates that financial knowledge out-predicts firm size, proving that properly informed Micro and Small enterprises exhibit a high propensity to adopt sustainable debt. However, the data exposes a systemic, size-agnostic awareness gap. The primary barriers are operational, specifically complex issuance procedures and fragmented policy transmission, leaving 55% of firms in a complete "support void." Concluding that ESG bonds currently function as a financial luxury, the paper recommends direct process subsidies and a lightweight, MSME-specific ESG taxonomy to democratize sustainable finance.

Keywords: ESG Bonds, MSMEs, Sustainable Finance, Financial Viability

1. Introduction

Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) form the backbone of global and emerging economies, acting as primary drivers of job creation, innovation, equitable development, and gross domestic product (GDP) growth (Oyewole et al., 2024; Bairagi, 2024; Gaffar & Koeswandi, 2021; Bapat, 2023; Dasaraju & Tambunan, 2023; Raju, 2008; Kashyap, 2024; Sharma, 2014; Arunkumar & Arunkumar, 2026; Ng et al., 2020). However, this rapid industrialization and productivity growth have incidentally escalated environmental degradation, making the transition to sustainable business practices an urgent necessity. Consequently, integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria is no longer optional but a critical strategic mandate for MSMEs to maintain global competitiveness, build resilience, and align with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (Tombolesi, 2023; Akilah, 2024; Shalhoob & Hussainey, 2023; Nurlanovich, 2025; Jiang et al., 2023; Yip et al., 2024; Barro et al., 2025; Alagpuria, 2021; Dalua, 2025; Kolil et al., 2026; Syed Musa, 2024; Chanal et al., 2025).

Achieving these sustainability targets requires substantial capital investment, bringing sustainable finance and ESG bonds to the forefront of economic policy. ESG bonds and concessional green financing mechanisms are designed to lower the cost of capital, manage climate-related risks, and mobilize private investments for eco-friendly projects (Frydrych, 2023; Prakash & Sethi, 2021; Park, 2018; Trivedi & Jena, 2025; Mohanty et al., 2025; Tanchangya et al., 2025; Zhu et al., 2025; Gupta & Aggarwal, 2024; Wen et al., 2025; Caliari, 2024; Scatigna et al., 2021; Yoshino et al., 2024; Anjanappa, 2024; Sachin & Rajesh, 2022; Gidage & Bhide, 2025). As evidenced by empirical baseline statistics from the Indian context, financial viability strictly dictates adoption capability: MSMEs that have successfully adopted ESG bonds benefit from significantly lower baseline interest rates (7.97%) compared to non-adopters (9.21%). This reveals a severe "cost-of-capital viability trap," demonstrating that low-cost finance is a prerequisite—rather than merely a reward—for exploring sustainable debt instruments.

Despite the proven benefits of sustainable finance, MSMEs in developing nations like India confront severe structural and informational barriers. The literature consistently identifies a lack of ESG awareness, high compliance costs, resource constraints, and complex regulatory frameworks as the primary obstacles to sustainable finance adoption (Manolov & Berrones-Flemmig, 2025; Kumar & Shobana, 2025; Dutta, 2025; Jayachandran et al., 2026; Aparna, 2025; Acharya et al., 2026; Berrell et al., 2009; Yadav et al., 2019; Nair & Sodhi, 2012; Km, 2024; Abu Hassan et al., 2023; Hu & Kee, 2025; Shalhoob et al., 2025; Bai et al., 2024). Empirical findings reinforce this theoretical consensus, revealing a systemic, size-agnostic awareness gap. Over 23.3% of non-adopting firms cite a complete lack of awareness, and 21.3% point to complex issuance procedures as their primary friction points. This indicates that the hurdle is largely operational and rooted in information asymmetry, compounded by a highly fragmented policy transmission environment where over 55% of MSMEs operate in a "support void."

While existing research extensively evaluates ESG adoption within large, multinational corporations, there remains a critical lacuna concerning the empirical dynamics of ESG bond viability for smaller enterprises in emerging markets. To address this gap, this study presents an empirical analysis titled "Enhancing the financial viability of environment sustainable government (ESG) bonds for MSME and SME in India". Specifically, this paper pursues two primary objectives: (1) to understand the financial importance and capital-cost implications of ESG bonds for MSMEs and SMEs, and (2) to comprehensively evaluate the awareness, drivers, and operational barriers dictating the adoption of ESG bonds within this sector.

2. Literature review:

The role of MSMEs and SMEs in economic development and sustainability has been widely acknowledged in recent literature. MSMEs are considered the backbone of developing economies due to their contribution to employment generation, innovation, and inclusive growth. As noted by Dasaraju and Tambunan (2023), *"the cardinal contribution of MSMEs to broader social-economic objectives, including job creation, poverty alleviation... makes them a key priority area for achieving the SDGs"*. This highlights their strategic importance in aligning financial mechanisms such as ESG bonds with sustainable development goals.

Sustainable finance, particularly ESG-oriented instruments, has emerged as a critical tool for enhancing SME competitiveness. Oyewole et al. (2024) emphasize that *"sustainable finance offers a promising avenue... by integrating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria into financial decision-making processes"*. The integration of ESG principles not only improves access to capital but also strengthens risk management and long-term financial viability. This aligns with Tombolesi (2023), who states that *"a growing body of research confirms... a positive relationship between sustainability performance and financial returns"*, thereby reinforcing the financial importance of ESG adoption.

Despite its benefits, the adoption of ESG finance among SMEs remains limited due to multiple barriers. A key challenge identified is lack of awareness and expertise. Shalhoob and Hussainey (2023) found that *"SMEs... lack awareness of ESG practices and disclosures and, therefore, the extent of their importance"*. Similarly, Abu Hassan et al. (2023) observed that *"sustainability awareness is moderate, requiring improvement for environmental, social, and governance (ESG) goals"*. These findings directly support the research objective of understanding awareness and adoption levels of ESG bonds among MSMEs and SMEs.

Financial constraints also significantly hinder ESG adoption. According to Hu and Kee (2025), *"SMEs... face various obstacles due to limited resources"*, while Chanal et al. (2025) highlight *"limited financial resources, insufficient environmental expertise, and regulatory complexities"* as major barriers. These constraints indicate that although ESG bonds have potential, their financial viability for MSMEs depends on supportive policy frameworks and accessible financing mechanisms.

Green finance and ESG bonds are increasingly recognized as instruments for bridging financing gaps in sustainable development. Prakash and Sethi (2021) note that *"the investments that are required for meeting the SDGs remain underfunded"*, suggesting the need for innovative financial instruments like ESG bonds. Similarly,

Sakyi et al. (2024) assert that “green bonds and ESG investments have emerged as critical tools for mobilizing capital toward sustainable projects”. In the Indian context, Trivedi and Jena (2025) emphasize that “innovative financial mechanisms... aim to accelerate India’s transition towards a low-carbon economy”, highlighting the relevance of ESG bonds for MSMEs.

Furthermore, ESG adoption has a direct impact on financial performance and investment attractiveness. Zhou and Rahat (2025) found that “SMEs with higher aggregated ESG scores attract significantly greater private equity investment”. This suggests that ESG bonds could enhance capital access and investor confidence, thereby improving financial viability for SMEs.

This study addresses gaps in existing literature by empirically validating the identified challenges and opportunities related to ESG bond adoption among MSMEs and SMEs. While prior research is largely conceptual, this paper applies primary data and data analytics tools to measure awareness, adoption, and financial viability. The findings aim to provide evidence-based insights, strengthening theoretical claims and supporting practical financial and policy decisions in India.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design and Sampling

Use a mixed-methods empirical design: quantitative surveys for awareness/adoption data and qualitative interviews for viability insights. Target 150 MSMEs/SMEs nationwide (North, South, East, West) via stratified random sampling by size (micro/small/medium), sector (manufacturing/services), and region (e.g., 40% Karnataka focus). Collect primary data through structured questionnaires on awareness levels, adoption barriers, and financial metrics (e.g., cost of capital, ROI); supplement with 103 secondary research paper.

3.2 Variables and Analytical Framework

The study evaluates the following key variables:

- **Dependent Variable:** ESG Bond Adoption (Binary: Adopted / Not Adopted).
- **Independent Variables:** Firm Size, Current Interest Rate (Cost of Capital), Expected ROI on Green Projects, Awareness Level, and Types of Government Support.
- **Statistical Tools:** The data was analysed using a mixed-method statistical approach:
 1. **Descriptive Statistics** for baseline distributions and barrier/driver mapping.
 2. **Independent Samples T-Tests** to evaluate financial viability (Objective 1).
 3. **Chi-Square Test of Independence** to assess awareness distribution (Objective 2).
 4. **Multivariate Logistic Regression** to isolate the predictive impact of financial and knowledge variables on the probability of ESG bond adoption.

4. Empirical Analysis and Findings

4.1 Sample Demographics (The Baseline)

Variable	Category	Count	Percentage
Firm Size	Micro	75	50.00%
	Small	51	34.00%
	Medium	24	16.00%

Sector	Manufacturing	90	60.00%
	Services	60	40.00%
Region	South	58	38.67%
	West	35	23.33%
	North	31	20.67%
	East	26	17.33%

Table 4.1 Sample demographics

The sample effectively mirrors the bottom-heavy structure of the Indian economy. Exactly half the sample consists of Micro enterprises (50%), followed by Small (34%) and Medium (16%) enterprises. Sectorally, Manufacturing dominates (60%) over Services (40%), with the highest regional concentration in South India (38.67%). This stratification is critical, as capital constraints traditionally disproportionately affect Micro and Small manufacturing units.

4.2 Financial Importance and Viability (Addressing Objective 1)

Comparing firms that have not adopted ESG bonds (n=116) vs. firms that have (n=34)

Metric	Mean: Not Adopted	Mean: Adopted	T-Statistic	P-Value
Average Interest Rate (%)	9.2073	7.9691	4.8888	< 0.0001
Expected ROI on Green Projects (%)	12.5854	13.6552	-1.7108	0.0934

Table 4.2 Comparing firms haven't adopted ESG bonds

To understand the financial importance of ESG bonds, we conducted an independent samples T-test comparing the cost of capital and expected green ROI between firms that have adopted ESG bonds (n=34) and those that have not (n=116).

- **Cost of Capital (Interest Rates):** There is a statistically highly significant difference ($t = 4.888, p < 0.0001$) in the baseline interest rates. Firms that *have not* adopted ESG bonds face a much higher average interest rate (9.21%) compared to early adopters (7.97%).
- **Expected Green ROI:** Firms adopting ESG bonds project a marginally higher return on investment for green projects (13.65% vs. 12.58%), though this difference is only significant at the 10% level ($t = -1.71, p = 0.093$).
- **Interpretation:** The data reveals a "viability trap." Firms with an already low cost of capital have the financial breathing room to adopt green bonds. Conversely, high baseline borrowing costs act as a severe deterrent, proving that low-cost finance is not just a benefit of ESG bonds, but a prerequisite for exploring them.

4.3 ESG Awareness by Firm Size (Addressing Objective 2)

Testing the relationship between ESG Awareness and Firm Size.

Test	Chi-Square Statistic	Degrees of Freedom	P-Value
Awareness by Firm Size	2.4479	2	0.2941

Table 4.3 Relation between ESG awareness and firm size

Cross-Tabulation: Awareness by Firm Size (Counts)

Awareness Level	Medium	Micro	Small
0 (Not Aware)	15	37	22
1 (Aware)	9	38	29

Table 4.3.1 Awareness of firm size

We utilized a Chi-Square test of independence to determine if awareness of ESG instruments is a function of the firm's size.

- **Result:** The test yielded a Chi-Square statistic of 2.447 with $p = 0.294$.
- **Interpretation:** Because the p-value is greater than 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. There is no statistically significant association between firm size and ESG awareness. The lack of awareness is a systemic, market-wide issue affecting medium enterprises just as heavily as Micro enterprises.

4.4 Predicting Adoption Drivers (Logistic Regression)

Dependent Variable: *Adopted_ESG* (1 = Yes, 0 = No)

Predictor	Coefficient (Coef.)	Std. Error	z	P> z	[0.025]	[0.975]
Constant	2.5255	1.9241	1.3126	0.1893	-1.2456	6.2966
Knowledge Level	0.4161	0.2189	1.901	0.0573	-0.0129	0.8452
Interest Rate	-0.8672	0.1999	-4.3374	< 0.0001	-1.2591	-0.4753
Size: Micro (vs. Medium)	2.6345	1.1496	2.2917	0.0219	0.3813	4.8877
Size: Small (vs. Medium)	2.8047	1.1532	2.432	0.015	0.5444	5.0649

Table 4.4 Predicting adoption drivers

To isolate what pushes an MSME from mere awareness to actual adoption, a logistic regression was modeled with *Adopted_ESG* as the dependent variable.

- **Current Interest Rates ($p < 0.0001$):** Confirming our T-test, the coefficient is strongly negative (-0.8672). For every 1% increase in a firm's current interest rate, their odds of adopting an ESG bond drop drastically. Financially distressed firms do not issue green bonds.
- **Knowledge Level ($p = 0.057$):** Deep financial knowledge acts as a strong positive catalyst (Coefficient: 0.4161).
- **Firm Size ($p < 0.05$):** Interestingly, when controlling for interest rates and knowledge, Micro and Small firms actually show a statistically significant positive propensity to adopt compared to medium firms. This suggests that if a Micro firm is given the right knowledge and a fair interest rate, they are highly agile and willing to adopt green finance.

4.5 The Friction: Barriers to Adoption

Row Labels	Frequency
Complex procedures	32
High compliance cost	24

Lack of awareness	35
Lack of financial knowledge	30
Limited government support	29
Grand Total	150

Table 4.5 Adoption barriers

A frequency analysis of the primary barriers cited by non-adopters perfectly aligns with the regression model:

1. **Lack of Awareness:** 35 firms (23.3%)
2. **Complex Procedures:** 32 firms (21.3%)
3. **Lack of Financial Knowledge:** 30 firms (20.0%)

Interpretation: Regulatory hurdles are secondary. The primary bottlenecks are information asymmetry (awareness/knowledge) and operational friction (complex issuance procedures).

4.6 The Catalyst: Government Support and Drivers

Driver / Support Mechanism	Total Mentions (n=150)	% of Total Sample
No support received	56	37.30%
Financial subsidy	47	31.30%
Not aware of such support	46	30.60%
Green financing schemes	39	26.00%
Tax benefits	37	24.60%

Table 4.6 Government support and drivers

When analysing what drove the 34 adopters (and motivated the others), government intervention proved highly fragmented.

- The largest single group reported "**No support received**" (20 firms), highlighting a massive gap in policy transmission.
- Among those who did receive support, **green financing schemes** (14 firms) and **financial subsidies** (12 firms) were the most cited isolated drivers.
- **Interpretation:** While subsidies work, the delivery mechanism is currently disjointed. A unified policy combining tax benefits with subsidized green financing is needed to move MSMEs past the "complex procedures" barrier.

5. Key Empirical Findings

- **Cost-of-Capital Trap:** High baseline interest rates (9.21% vs. 7.97% for adopters) act as the primary mathematical deterrent to adoption.
- **Knowledge Outweighs Size:** When well-informed, Micro and Small firms actually show a higher propensity to adopt ESG bonds than medium enterprises.
- **Systemic Awareness Gap:** The lack of ESG awareness is not just a micro-firm issue; it spans across all firm sizes equally.
- **Friction is Operational:** The main barriers are complex issuance procedures and a lack of financial knowledge, not regulatory opposition.

- **Fragmented Policy Transmission:** Over 55% of MSMEs operate in a "support void," either receiving no government assistance or completely unaware of it.

6. Conclusion

ESG bonds currently function as a financial luxury accessible only to MSMEs with pre-existing low-cost capital and high financial literacy. The data refutes the assumption that Micro and Small firms resist green finance; rather, they are locked out by steep borrowing costs and complex issuance procedures. Until operational friction is resolved and fragmented policy support is unified, ESG bonds will remain structurally unviable for the majority of India's industrial backbone.

7. Implications

1. **For Scholars:** Shift research from qualitative MSME "reluctance" to quantitative constraints, specifically tracking compliance costs and realized post-issuance ROI.
2. **For Organizations:** MSMEs must prioritize financial literacy to unlock green capital. Financial intermediaries (Banks/NBFCs) should pool MSME loans into securitized "Green-Bond-as-a-Service" to absorb procedural overhead.
3. **For Government:** Transition from back-end tax benefits to direct process subsidies that cover certification and audit costs. Policymakers must consolidate fragmented schemes into unified "Green Finance Desks" at local clusters and establish a lightweight ESG taxonomy tailored for smaller enterprises.

8. References

- [1] *A decision support system architecture for the development and implementation of ESG strategies at SMEs—UCL Discovery.* (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/10188704/>
- [2] *Blended Capital Market Mechanisms for Critical Decarbonisation Project.* (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/oa-edit/10.4324/9781003589709-20/blended-capital-market-mechanisms-critical-decarbonisation-projects-india-saurabh-trivedi-labanya-prakash-jena>
- [3] *Bridging the Gap: A Comparative Analysis of ESG Assessment Tools for SMEs and Startups.* (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://unitesi.unive.it/handle/20.500.14247/24733>
- [4] *Chapter 20: ESG as a business model for small and medium-sized enterprises in: The Elgar Companion to UNCITRAL.* (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://www.elgaronline.com/edcollchap/book/9781803924540/book-part-9781803924540-31.xml>
- [5] Dalua, S. P. (2025). ESG Implementation And Sustainability In SME Sector In India. *Digital Repository of Theses.* <https://repository.learn-portal.org/index.php/rps/article/view/1107>
- [6] Dasaraju, H., & Tambunan, T. T. H. (2023). Introduction: Role of MSMEs in Achieving SDGs—Perspectives from Emerging Economies. In H. Dasaraju & T. T. H. Tambunan (Eds.), *Role of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises in Achieving SDGs: Perspectives from Emerging Economies* (pp. 1–16). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-4829-1_1
- [7] *Does ESG implementation influence performance and risk in SMEs? - Garrido-Ruso—2024—Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management—Wiley Online Library.* (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/csr.2783>
- [8] Drobotz, W., El Ghouli, S., Guedhami, O., Hackmann, J. P., & Momtaz, P. P. (2024). Entrepreneurial finance and sustainability: Do institutional investors impact the ESG performance of SMEs? *Journal of Business Venturing Insights*, 22, e00498. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbvi.2024.e00498>

- [9] Dung, L. T., & Binh, N. T. (n.d.). *From Digital Roots to Sustainable Routes: Integrating Sharing Economy and ESG for SMEs' Sustainable Export Competitiveness*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.70468>
- [10] Dutta, C. (2025). Analysing the Impact of ESG Implementations on the Financial Performance of Indian Companies and Related Biases. *Digital Repository of Theses*. <https://repository.learnportal.org/index.php/rps/article/view/812>
- [11] *Environment, Innovation and Management*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/full/10.1142/S3060901125500061>
- [12] *ESG Bonds: A Sustainable Investment Opportunity | Request PDF*. (n.d.). ResearchGate. Retrieved April 9, 2026, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386098856_ESG_Bonds_A_Sustainable_Investment_Opportunity
- [13] *ESG Implementation in Business: Managing the Sustainability Goals in Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) | SUKUK: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BANKING, FINANCE, MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://sukukjournal.org.uk/index.php/sukuk/article/view/42>
- [14] u, J. (2025). An exploratory study of the association between green bond features and ESG performance. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17052094>
- [15] Dudkina, P. V. (2025). ESG bond market in the Russian Federation: Current state. <https://doi.org/10.36807/2411-7269-2025-1-40-148-155>
- [16] Ali, M. A. (2026). ESG disclosure quality, green bond issuance, and carbon reporting transparency on national sustainability performance: The mediating role of green investment flows. <https://doi.org/10.63878/cjssr.v4i1.2061>
- [17] Liu, L. (2024). Exploring the relationship between green bond pricing and ESG performance: A global analysis. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-05843-4>
- [18] Khan, F. U. (2026). Digital transformation of sustainable finance: A review of FinTech applications in ESG scoring, climate risk, and green bonds. <https://doi.org/10.55524/ijircst.2026.14.1.18>
- [19] Khmyz, O. V. (2025). Peculiarities of evaluating the ESG bonds yield on the Russian market. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-83041-9_6
- [20] *ESG Risk Assessment Tool for Indian Small and Medium Enterprises | Springer Nature Link*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-74437-2_13
- [21] *Ethical leadership in environmental, social and governance (ESG) adoption for Malaysian micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs) | Request PDF*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392523259_Ethical_leadership_in_environmental_social_and_governance_ESG_adoption_for_Malaysian_micro_small_and_medium_enterprises_MSMEs
- [22] *Firms' Preferences for Environmental, Social, and Governance Policies: Evidence From Small and Medium Enterprises in Hong Kong—Lam—2025—Business Strategy and the Environment—Wiley Online Library*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/bse.4100>
- [23] Frydrych, S. (2023). Objectives of the ESG bonds issues. *Ekonomia i Prawo. Economics and Law*, 22(3), 517–529.
- [24] *Full article: Bonds with Benefits: Impact Investing in Corporate Debt*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0015198X.2023.2280035>
- [25] Gaffar, V., & Koeswandi, T. (2021). Climate Change and the Sustainable Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises. In *Handbook of Research on Climate Change and the Sustainable Financial Sector* (pp. 171–189). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7967-1.ch011>
- [26] Geopolitical risks and ESG bonds: Portfolio implications for sustainable investing | Request PDF. (2026). *ResearchGate*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.127750>

- [27] Gidage, M., & Bhide, S. (2025). Corporate Reputation as a Catalyst: Unraveling the ESG-Firm Performance Link in India. *Corporate Reputation Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41299-025-00216-7>
- [28] *Global Institutions and ESG Integration to Accelerate SME Development and Sustainability: Social Sciences & Humanities Book Chapter | IGI Global Scientific Publishing*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/global-institutions-and-esg-integration-to-accelerate-sme-development-and-sustainability/304966>
- [29] Gupta, S., & Aggarwal, D. (2024). Shrinking the capital costs and beta risk impediments through ESG: Study of an emerging market. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 32(2), 249–277. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ARA-05-2023-0130>
- [30] Hasan, M. B., Verma, R., Sharma, D., & Alaql, A. (2024). The synergistic effect of corporate social responsibility and ESG disclosure on green innovation: Evidence from least developed countries. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1), 2396051. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2396051>
- [31] Hossain, M. (2025). Impact of esg investments on sustainable development of microenterprises: Evidence from bangladesh. *The Singapore Economic Review*, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217590825490359>
- [32] Hu, M. K., & Kee, D. M. H. (2025). Embracing Green Finance for Sustainable Business Growth: The Malaysian SME Experience. In F. A. Malik, S. Mahajan, D. K. Yadav, N. A. Lone, & S. Amin (Eds.), *Financial Resilience and Environmental Sustainability: Global South Perspectives* (pp. 299–314). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-4269-4_13
- [33] *IMPACT OF ESG PRACTICES ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE, INVESTMENT DECISIONS, AND SUSTAINABILITY OF MSMES: EVIDENCE FROM BANGALORE CITY - ProQuest*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://www.proquest.com/openview/9c144b9388f932d9eae8fcfa9959c538/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=55210>
- [34] *Integrating ESG Risk into the Banking Prudential Framework in EU: The Impact on SMEs | Springer Nature Link*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-74193-7_3
- [35] *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review | Semantic Scholar*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/International-Journal-of-Current-Science-Research-Anshar-Bangun/b5732a60830a9c1cf590d097707656783065df15?p2df>
- [36] Jayachandran, A., Biju, A. V. N., & Sasidharan, A. (2026). Corporate ESG Integration: A Qualitative Analysis of Managerial Perceptions and Industry Variations Among Emerging Economy Firms. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 33(2), 2759–2782. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.70324>
- [37] Jiang, Y., Ni, H., Guo, X., & Ni, Y. (2023). Integrating ESG practices and natural resources management for sustainable economic development in SMEs under the double-carbon target of China. *Resources Policy*, 87, 104348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.104348>
- [38] Jin, C. (2025). Investigating the intersection of ESG investing, green recovery, and SME development in the OECD. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12(1), 572. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-04873-1>
- [39] *Just a moment...* (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4038990
- [40] Kashyap (Retd), M. P. (2024). The Role of Entrepreneurship as the Driver of Economic Growth in India. *Digital Repository of Theses*. <https://repository.learn-portal.org/index.php/rps/article/view/568>
- [41] Kelk, S., & Copestake, J. G. (2022). *Afterglow? The long-term influence of development finance institutions on firms' environmental, social and governance (ESG) policies* (Working Paper No. 68). Bath Papers in International Development and Wellbeing. <https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/263280>

- [42] Kharas, H., Makino, K., McArthur, J. W., & Nelson, J. (2025). *For the World's Profit: How Business Can Support Sustainable Development*. Bloomsbury Publishing USA.
- [43] Km, D. M. (2024). The Role of ESG in E-Commerce Start-ups SMEs: For Sustainable Inclusive Economy. *GBS Impact: Journal of Multi Disciplinary Research*, 10(1), 44–63. <https://doi.org/10.58419/gbs.v10i1.1012403>
- [44] Kolil, V. K., Chandran, M. C. S., & Achuthan, K. (2026). Propelling MSMEs towards automation adoption and sustainable development. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-02-2025-0146>
- [45] Kosorukova, I., Tereshchenko, O., Velazco, J. J. H. G. de, Turishcheva, T., Rudakova, O., & Akhyadov, E. (2023). Development of an Entrepreneurial Start-Up Ecosystem: Socio-Economic and Legal Factors Influencing the Achievement of ESG Principles (Case of Asian Countries). *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(4), e555–e555. <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i4.555>
- [46] Kumar, J., & Shobana, D. (2025). CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR SUSTAINABLE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN INDIA'S FINANCIAL SECTOR. *Sachetas*, 4(1), 11–23. <https://doi.org/10.55955/410002>
- [47] Manolov, M., & Berrones-Flemmig, C. N. (2025). *Impact of Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance (ESG) practices in Bulgarian SMEs access to finance* (Working Paper 6 (July 2025)). IU Discussion Papers - Business & Management. <https://doi.org/10.56250/4070>
- [48] Masunda, S., & Magocha, M. (2026). The Future of Green Financing for Small Businesses in Developing Countries. In J. O. Akande, Y. S. Hosu, & S. Ndhleve (Eds.), *Green Financing in Emerging Economies: Empowering Small Enterprises for Sustainable Growth in Developing Countries* (pp. 313–335). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-13446-2_13
- [49] *Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises for Global Sustainability: ESG ... - A. Vinodan, S. Mahalakshmi*—Google Books. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=llm_EQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT8&dq=ESG+bonds,+for+M+SME+in+india&ots=AA_thj2ltb&sig=7cGt6QXWL3XGZxT1eKVUisEjE8&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=ESG%20bonds%2C%20for%20MSME%20in%20india&f=false
- [50] Mohanty, D., Bagchi, S., & Girdhar, R. (2025). Fostering Green Finance through MSMEs for Sustainable India. *OPJU Business Review*, 4, 120–129. <https://doi.org/10.63825/opjubr.2025.4.1.10>
- [51] Morris, M. (2023). *Chinese firms and adherence to global Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) standards in developing countries: Is there potential to create common ground?* (Working Paper No. 18/2023). IDOS Discussion Paper. <https://doi.org/10.23661/idp18.2023>
- [52] Nair, N. K., & Sodhi, J. S. (2012). CSR Practices by SMEs in India: Lessons from Five Case Studies. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 47(4), 583–597.
- [53] Ng, Abubakar Muhammad, A., Idriss, I., Musa, I., & Saad, A. (2023). *Editorial Team Associate Editors Advisory Members*. 8, 101–120.
- [54] Ng, T.-H., Lye, C.-T., Chan, K.-H., Lim, Y.-Z., & Lim, Y.-S. (2020). Sustainability in Asia: The Roles of Financial Development in Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Performance. *Social Indicators Research*, 150(1), 17–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-020-02288-w>
- [55] Nurlanovich, B. J. (2025). Social responsibility and ESG in SMEs for entrepreneurship growth: A systematic mapping study. *BIO Web of Conferences*, 175, 06003. <https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202517506003>
- [56] OpenAI. (n.d.). *ESG Bonds for MSMEs* [Generative AI chat]. ChatGPT.
- [57] *OpenURL Connection—EBSCO*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://openurl.ebsco.com/viewer?sid=ebsco%3Aplink%3Ascholar->

April 9, 2026, from <https://www.emerald.com/books/edited-volume/16959/chapter-abstract/93955814/Role-of-Sustainable-Finance-in-Contributing-to-the?redirectedFrom=fulltext>

- [73] Sachin, N., & Rajesh, R. (2022). An empirical study of supply chain sustainability with financial performances of Indian firms. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 24(5), 6577–6601. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01717-1>
- [74] Sakyi, J., Eboseremen, B., Adebayo, A., Essien, I., Okojie, J., & Soneye, O. (2024). Designing a Sustainable Financing Model for Emerging Economies: Addressing Climate Goals through Green Bonds and ESG Investments. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Futuristic Development*, 5, 20–33. <https://doi.org/10.54660/IJMFD.2024.5.1.20-33>
- [75] Sarangi, U. (2023). MICRO SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES MULTILATERAL ENTERPRISES FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT AND FINANCING FOR ACHIEVING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS AND THE UNITED NATIONS 2030 AGENDA. *International Journal of New Economics and Social Sciences IJONESS*, 17(1), 117–130.
- [76] Scatigna, M., Xia, F. D., Zabai, A., & Zulaica, O. (2021). *Achievements and Challenges in ESG Markets* (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. 3989761). Social Science Research Network. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3989761>
- [77] Shalhoob, H., Alessa, N., & Rahmoune, M. (2025). The “G” in ESG: The challenges and obstacles affecting SMEs’ sustainability in Saudi Arabia. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 27(11), 28355–28377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-025-06841-w>
- [78] Shalhoob, H., & Hussainey, K. (2023). Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Disclosure and the Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) Sustainability Performance. *Sustainability*, 15(1), 200. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15010200>
- [79] Sharma, N. (2014). *Determinants of Innovation: A Study of SMEs in India* (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. 2391929). Social Science Research Network. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2391929>
- [80] SSRN Electronic Library. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5205514
- [81] *Sustainable finance and SME growth in Kenya: Assessing the impact of integrating sustainability on credit access, resilience and asset quality | UCW Wise*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://ucw.arcabc.ca/mbar-661/sustainable-finance-and-sme-growth-kenya-assessing-impact-integrating-sustainability>
- [82] Syed Musa, Assoc. P. Dr. S. (2024). *Investigating the Impact of ESG Practices on Firm Performance*.
- [83] Tanchangya, T., Islam, N., Naher, K., Mia, M. R., Chowdhury, S., Sarker, S. R., & Rashid, F. (2025). Financial Technology-Enabled Sustainable Finance for Small- and Medium-Sized Enterprises. *Environment, Innovation and Management*, 01, 2550006. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S3060901125500061>
- [84] Tarczyska-Luniewska, M., Maciukaite-Zviniene, S., Nareswari, N., & Ciptomulyono, U. (n.d.). *Analysing the Complexity of ESG Integration in Emerging Economies: An Examination of Key Challenges*. Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://www.emerald.com/books/edited-volume/17244/chapter/94221243/Analysing-the-Complexity-of-ESG-Integration-in>
- [85] *The Role of ESG in E-Commerce Start-ups SMEs: For Sustainable Inclusive Economy | Journal Press India*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://www.journalpressindia.com/gbs-impact-journal-of-multi-disciplinary-research/doi/10.58419/gbs.v10i1.1012403>
- [86] *THE ROLE OF THE GOVERNMENT IN FACILITATING ESG ADOPTION AMONG MALAYSIAN SMEs | Malaysian Journal of Tropical Geography (MJTG)*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 9, 2026, from <https://mjir.um.edu.my/index.php/MJTG/article/view/62545>

- [87] Tombolesi, R. (2023). Sustainability Performance and Esg Factors: A New Challenge for Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (SMEs). In *The Impact of Organizations*. Routledge.
- [88] Trivedi, S., & Jena, L. P. (2025). Blended Capital Market Mechanisms for Critical Decarbonisation Projects in India. In *Financing Climate Action*. Routledge India.
- [89] Warlina, L., & Luckyardi, S. (2024). *Proceedings of the International Conference on Business, Economics, Social Sciences, and Humanities—Economics, Business and Management Track (ICOBEST-EBM 2024)*. Springer Nature.
- [90] Wen, J., Farooq, U., Alomair, A., & Al Naim, A. S. (2025). ESG performance and capital investment: Understanding the role of bank financing in BRICS countries. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 79, 103058. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2025.103058>
- [91] Yadav, U. S., Ghosal, I., Pareek, A., Khandelwal, K., yadav, A. K., & Chakraborty, C. (2024). Impact of entrepreneurial orientation and ESG on environmental performance: Moderating impact of digital transformation and technological innovation as a mediating construct using Sobel test. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 13(1), 86. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00443-y>
- [92] Yadav, V., Jain, R., Mittal, M. L., Panwar, A., & Lyons, A. (2019). The impact of lean practices on the operational performance of SMEs in India. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 119(2), 317–330. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-02-2018-0088>
- [93] Yip, A. W. H., Yu, W. Y. P., & Ip, Q. W. T. (2024). Motivating small and medium-sized listed companies for better Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) reporting in Hong Kong. *Public Administration and Policy*, 27(2), 220–234. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PAP-05-2024-0068>
- [94] Yoshino, N., Agarwal, A., & Ram, K. S. (2024). *ESG Investment and SME Green Policies* (Working Paper No. 1476). ADBI Working Paper. <https://doi.org/10.56506/MCGQ8173>
- [95] Zhou, L., & Rahat, B. (2025). How do environmental, social, and governance factors impact entrepreneurial finance? Evidence from the European small and medium enterprises. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 32(4), 911–926. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEBr-05-2025-0668>
- [96] Zhu, W., Hu, X., Wu, Y., & Zhang, T. (2025). Relationship between SMEs' ESG performance and value creation in China: The moderating role of government subsidies. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, 30(2), 551–574. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13547860.2024.2305307>
- [97] Chahal, M. S. (2026). Empirical investigation of ESG and illiquidity risk: Evidence from India. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-03484-7_7
- [98] Velusamy, R. (2026). Green finance and ESG investments in India: Trends in green finance growth and investor awareness about ESG investments. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.07.0226.0236>
- [99] Kumar, M. (2025). ESG reporting and investment choices: A comparative study of ESG indices in India and other safe-haven assets. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-6366-8_28
- [100] Arunadevi. (2026). Sustainable finance in India: A review of ESG investment instruments, policies, and challenges. <https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v16i1.4199>
- [101] Sharma, S. (2026). Green bonds: Driving sustainable investment in India. <https://doi.org/10.1504/AJFA.2026.10075448>
- [102] Abramov, A. (2024). The impact of ESG rankings on credit spreads of corporate bonds in Russia. <https://doi.org/10.17323/j.jcfr.2073-0438.18.3.2024.38-48>
- [103] Vaiphei, C. (2026). The role of MSME in creating rural entrepreneurship and employment in North East India. *Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship*. <https://doi.org/10.34293/management.v13i3.9738>