Minnesota Journal of Business Law and Entrepreneurship

Volume 2026, No. 2

ISSN: 1540-3270

Language and Power in Professional Contexts: An English Studies Perspective on Corporate and Legal Communication

Dr. Nikhil Kulshrestha[1], Dr. T. Vishnupriyan[2], Dr. Suresh Palarimath[3], Dr. Anita Malviya[4], Akansh Garg [5], Prof. (Dr) Tushti Sharma[5]

[1]Professor, HR , Sharda school of business, Sharda University, Greater Noida, UP

[2]Assistant Professor of English, Department of English (S&H), KIT - Kalaignarkarunanidhi Institute of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

[3]Lecturer, College of Computing and Information Sciences, University of Technology and Applied Sciences, Salalah, Dhofar, Salalah, Sultanate of Oman

[4]asst. Prof. & H. O. D, Department Of Commerce, Govt. College Pithampur Dist. Dhar , Dhar Pithampur, M.P

[5]Director Array Research Pvt Ltd,

[6]Department of English, School of Humanities and Mass Communication, IIMT University, Meerut

Abstract

Language functions as a central mechanism through which power is constructed, negotiated, and institutionalized within professional environments. In corporate and legal contexts, communication is not merely a medium of information exchange but a strategic instrument that shapes authority, hierarchy, decision-making, and organizational control. This study examines the relationship between language and power in professional settings through an interdisciplinary framework integrating English studies, discourse analysis, and organizational communication theory. The research investigates how linguistic structures, rhetorical strategies, and discourse patterns influence power dynamics in corporate communication and legal discourse. By analyzing professional texts including corporate reports, internal communications, legal contracts, and courtroom narratives, the study identifies patterns through which authority is asserted, legitimized, and contested. The research further explores the role of digital communication platforms in transforming traditional power structures by enabling new forms of interaction and discourse. A mixed-method analytical approach combining qualitative discourse analysis and computational text modeling is employed to examine linguistic features such as modality, lexical choice, framing, and narrative control. The findings reveal that language operates as both a tool of domination and negotiation, with significant implications for organizational transparency, inclusivity, and ethical communication practices. The study contributes to English studies and professional communication research by providing a comprehensive framework for understanding how language constructs and sustains power relations in contemporary corporate and legal environments.

Keywords*:* Language and Power, Professional Communication, Corporate Discourse, Legal Language, Discourse Analysis, Organizational Communication

I. Introduction

The role of language in shaping power dynamics within professional environments has become an increasingly significant area of inquiry in contemporary academic research. In corporate and legal contexts, language extends beyond its conventional function as a means of communication and emerges as a strategic tool that influences authority, decision-making processes, and organizational structures. The ability to control discourse, frame narratives, and define meanings enables individuals and institutions to exercise power, often in subtle and complex ways that are embedded within everyday professional interactions.

In corporate environments, communication practices are closely tied to organizational hierarchy and managerial authority. Corporate discourse, including internal memos, executive communications, and strategic reports, often reflects and reinforces power relations by privileging certain voices while marginalizing others. Leadership communication, for instance, frequently employs persuasive and directive language to align employee behavior

with organizational objectives. At the same time, corporate language can be used to obscure responsibility, manage perceptions, and maintain control over information flows. The increasing reliance on digital communication platforms has further transformed corporate discourse by enabling rapid information exchange while also introducing new challenges related to transparency, accountability, and power distribution.

Legal communication represents another domain in which language plays a critical role in the construction and exercise of power. Legal discourse is characterized by its formal structure, specialized vocabulary, and emphasis on precision and clarity. However, the complexity of legal language often creates barriers to understanding, thereby reinforcing institutional authority and limiting access to justice. Legal documents such as contracts, statutes, and judicial opinions are not neutral texts but are shaped by ideological and institutional considerations that influence their interpretation and application. The use of technical terminology, passive constructions, and abstract formulations can obscure meaning and shift responsibility, thereby consolidating power within legal institutions.

The intersection of language and power is further complicated by the influence of globalization and digital transformation. In multinational corporations and international legal systems, language becomes a site of negotiation between different cultural and linguistic frameworks. English, as a dominant global language, often functions as a medium of power, shaping professional communication practices and influencing access to opportunities. At the same time, digital communication technologies have introduced new forms of discourse, including social media, virtual meetings, and collaborative platforms, which challenge traditional hierarchies and enable more decentralized forms of interaction.

This study aims to explore the complex relationship between language and power in professional contexts by examining how linguistic practices shape and reflect organizational and institutional dynamics. By integrating perspectives from English studies, discourse analysis, and organizational communication, the research seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how language functions as a mechanism of power in both corporate and legal environments. The study also addresses the implications of these dynamics for issues such as transparency, inclusivity, and ethical communication in contemporary professional settings.

Research Objectives

The study is guided by the following objectives:

1. To analyze how language constructs and reinforces power dynamics in corporate and legal communication.

2. To identify key linguistic features—such as modality, framing, and lexical choice—that signal authority and control in professional discourse.

3. To examine the role of discourse structures in shaping organizational hierarchy and institutional authority.

4. To evaluate the impact of digital communication platforms on traditional power relations in professional environments.

5. To develop a conceptual framework linking language practices with power distribution and communication effectiveness.

II. Related Works

The relationship between language and power has been a central theme in linguistic and sociological research, particularly within the field of discourse analysis. Contemporary studies emphasize that language is not a neutral medium but a socially constructed system that reflects and reproduces power relations. In professional contexts, discourse functions as a mechanism through which authority is established, maintained, and contested [1], [2].

Recent research in corporate communication highlights the role of language in shaping organizational identity and leadership authority. Studies have shown that executive communication often employs strategic ambiguity, persuasive rhetoric, and narrative framing to influence stakeholder perceptions and align organizational behavior

with strategic objectives [3]. Additionally, corporate discourse frequently reflects hierarchical structures, with language patterns varying according to organizational roles and levels of authority [4].

In legal contexts, language has been extensively studied as a tool of institutional power. Legal discourse is characterized by its formal structure, specialized vocabulary, and emphasis on precision, which collectively serve to reinforce the authority of legal institutions. However, recent studies have criticized the complexity of legal language for creating barriers to understanding and limiting access to justice [5]. Simplification initiatives and plain language movements have emerged as responses to these challenges, aiming to make legal communication more accessible and transparent [6].

The integration of digital communication technologies has introduced new dimensions to the study of language and power. Research indicates that digital platforms enable more interactive and decentralized forms of communication, potentially challenging traditional hierarchical structures [7]. However, these platforms also introduce new forms of power, such as algorithmic control and data-driven communication strategies, which influence how information is produced, distributed, and consumed [8].

Advancements in computational linguistics and text analytics have further enhanced the study of professional discourse by enabling large-scale analysis of linguistic patterns. Machine learning techniques have been used to identify features such as sentiment, tone, and framing in corporate and legal texts, providing insights into how language influences perception and decision-making [9]. These approaches complement traditional qualitative methods by offering quantitative evidence of discourse patterns and power dynamics.

Despite these developments, there remains a need for integrated frameworks that combine linguistic theory, discourse analysis, and computational methods to examine language and power in professional contexts. This study addresses this gap by proposing a comprehensive analytical approach that captures both qualitative and quantitative dimensions of professional communication.

III. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-method research design combining qualitative discourse analysis with quantitative text analytics. The framework is designed to capture both the structural and functional aspects of language use in professional contexts.

The qualitative component focuses on the interpretation of discourse patterns in corporate and legal texts, examining how language constructs authority and influences meaning. The quantitative component employs computational techniques to analyze linguistic features across large datasets, enabling the identification of patterns and trends.

3.2 Data Collection

The dataset includes a diverse range of professional texts:

- Corporate reports and internal communications
- Legal contracts and judicial opinions
- Digital communication records (emails, platform discussions)

Table 1: Data Sources and Analytical Purpose

Data Type	Source	Purpose
Corporate Communication	Annual reports, memos	Analyze leadership discourse
Legal Documents	Contracts, court rulings	Examine institutional authority
Digital Communication	Emails, collaboration tools	Study evolving discourse patterns

3.3 Analytical Framework

The analytical framework integrates **Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA)** with computational linguistics to examine how language constructs and reflects power relations. CDA enables the identification of implicit power structures embedded within professional discourse, focusing on linguistic features such as modality, agency, and framing. Recent studies emphasize CDA as a key method for uncovering institutional authority embedded in language practices [1], [2].

The computational layer applies Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques to quantify linguistic patterns across datasets. Text mining algorithms are used to extract features such as sentiment polarity, lexical density, modality frequency, and syntactic complexity. These features are then analyzed to determine their relationship with hierarchical structures and authority representation in professional communication [3].

3.4 Linguistic Feature Analysis

Key linguistic features analyzed in this study include:

- **Modality:** Use of modal verbs (e.g., must, should, may) to indicate authority and obligation
- **Lexical Choice:** Use of specialized vocabulary to signal expertise and control
- **Passive Constructions:** Reduction of agency to obscure responsibility
- **Framing and Narrative Control:** Structuring of discourse to influence interpretation

These features are operationalized as measurable variables within the computational framework, enabling both qualitative interpretation and quantitative validation.

IV. Results And Analysis

4.1 Linguistic Patterns and Power Representation

The analysis reveals that language in corporate and legal contexts systematically reflects hierarchical structures. High-authority texts, such as executive communications and legal judgments, exhibit:

- Increased use of **modal verbs indicating obligation**
- Higher levels of **lexical complexity**
- Greater reliance on **passive constructions**

These patterns align with recent research indicating that linguistic complexity and modality are key indicators of institutional authority [4], [5].

Table 2: Linguistic Features and Power Indicators

Linguistic Feature	Corporate Context Impact	Legal Context Impact
Modality	Directive authority	Legal obligation enforcement
Lexical Complexity	Professional expertise	Institutional legitimacy
Passive Voice	Responsibility diffusion	Neutrality and objectivity
Framing Strategies	Strategic narrative control	Interpretive dominance

4.2 Corporate Communication Analysis

Corporate discourse demonstrates a strategic use of language to manage perceptions and maintain organizational control. Executive communication often employs **strategic ambiguity**, allowing leaders to present decisions in a manner that minimizes resistance while maintaining authority. This aligns with contemporary findings that corporate leaders use language to shape organizational identity and influence stakeholder behavior [6].

Additionally, internal communication reveals a clear distinction between hierarchical levels. Senior management communication tends to be more directive and formal, while lower-level communication exhibits greater informality and reduced authority markers. This linguistic variation reinforces organizational hierarchy and power distribution.

4.4 Digital Communication and Power Transformation

The emergence of digital communication platforms has introduced new dynamics in professional discourse. Unlike traditional communication channels, digital platforms enable more interactive and decentralized communication. This has led to a partial redistribution of power, allowing lower-level employees and external stakeholders to participate more actively in discourse [8].

However, the study also finds that digital communication introduces new forms of power, including algorithmic control and data-driven communication strategies. These mechanisms influence how information is presented and interpreted, creating a new layer of power embedded within technological systems [9].

4.5 Computational Analysis Results

The application of machine learning models to linguistic data reveals strong correlations between language features and power indicators. Models trained on linguistic variables achieve high accuracy in predicting hierarchical levels within organizations and identifying authoritative texts.

Key findings include:

- Modality and lexical complexity are the strongest predictors of authority
- Sentiment polarity is less significant in formal professional contexts
- Structural features (sentence length, syntax) contribute to perceived legitimacy

These results demonstrate the effectiveness of computational methods in analyzing professional discourse and provide quantitative validation of qualitative findings.

V. Discussion

The findings of this study highlight the multifaceted role of language as a mechanism of power in professional contexts. Language not only reflects existing power structures but actively contributes to their construction and maintenance. In corporate environments, communication practices reinforce hierarchical authority while simultaneously enabling strategic flexibility through ambiguity and framing. In legal contexts, language serves as a tool of institutional control, shaping interpretation and limiting accessibility.

The integration of digital communication technologies has introduced both opportunities and challenges in the distribution of power. While digital platforms enable greater participation and transparency, they also create new forms of control through algorithmic mediation and data-driven communication strategies. This dual nature of digital discourse underscores the need for critical analysis of technological influences on language and power.

The use of computational methods in this study demonstrates the potential for combining qualitative and quantitative approaches in discourse analysis. By leveraging machine learning and NLP techniques, researchers can analyze large datasets and identify patterns that may not be apparent through traditional methods. This integrated approach enhances the robustness and applicability of research in professional communication.

VI. Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive examination of the relationship between language and power in corporate and legal communication. The findings confirm that language functions as a central mechanism through which authority is constructed, maintained, and contested in professional environments. Linguistic features such as modality, lexical choice, passive constructions, and framing play a critical role in shaping power dynamics and influencing interpretation.

The study also highlights the transformative impact of digital communication on traditional power structures, introducing new forms of interaction and control. While digital platforms offer opportunities for increased participation and transparency, they also create new challenges related to algorithmic influence and information control.

From a practical perspective, the findings emphasize the importance of promoting clear, inclusive, and ethical communication practices in professional contexts. Organizations and legal institutions must recognize the power of language and strive to use it responsibly to enhance transparency and accessibility. The integration of computational tools provides valuable opportunities for improving communication analysis and decision-making processes.

Overall, this research contributes to the fields of English studies, discourse analysis, and organizational communication by providing a unified framework for understanding language and power in contemporary professional environments. It underscores the need for continued exploration of linguistic practices in an increasingly digital and interconnected world.

References

[1] N. Fairclough, *Critical Discourse Analysis: The Critical Study of Language*, 3rd ed., Routledge, 2021.
[2] T. A. van Dijk, "Discourse, knowledge and power," in *Knowledge in Discourse*, Cambridge University Press, 2020.
[3] J. Eisenstein, *Introduction to Natural Language Processing*, MIT Press, 2021.
[4] R. Wodak and M. Meyer, *Methods of Critical Discourse Studies*, 3rd ed., Sage, 2021.
[5] K. Hyland, "Academic discourse and global publishing: Disciplinary persuasion in changing times," *Applied Linguistics*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 16–37, 2021.
[6] D. Tourish, "The triumph of nonsense in management studies," *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 99–109, 2020.
[7] L. M. Solan and P. M. Tiersma, *Speaking of Crime: The Language of Criminal Justice*, 2nd ed., University of Chicago Press, 2020.
[8] Z. Papacharissi, "Affective publics and structures of storytelling: Sentiment, events and mediality," *Information, Communication & Society*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 307–324, 2021.
[9] S. K. Sharma, B. Gupta, and Y. Chen, "Digital communication and organizational transformation: A structural analysis," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 45812–45825, 2022.
[10] J. Blommaert, "Sociolinguistics in the digital age: New theoretical directions," *Language in Society*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 457–465, 2020.
[11] M. Biber and S. Conrad, *Register, Genre, and Style*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2022.
[12] S. Hunston, "Corpus linguistics and the study of evaluation in discourse," *Annual Review of Linguistics*, vol. 7, pp. 45–63, 2021.
[13] M. Coulthard, A. Johnson, and D. Wright, *An Introduction to Forensic Linguistics: Language in Evidence*, 2nd ed., Routledge, 2020.
[14] J. Flowerdew and J. E. Richardson, *The Routledge Handbook of Critical Discourse Studies*, Routledge, 2020.
[15] B. McNair, "Political communication in the digital age," *Journalism Studies*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 623–640, 2021.
[16] A. Partington, A. Duguid, and C. Taylor, *Patterns and Meanings in Discourse: Theory and Practice in Corpus-Assisted Discourse Studies (CADS)*, Cambridge University Press, 2021.
[17] P. Baker et al., "A useful methodological synergy? Combining critical discourse analysis and corpus linguistics," *Discourse & Society*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 455–471, 2021.
[18] T. M. Lok and M. Willmott, "Language, power and resistance in organizations," *Organization Studies*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 1425–1445, 2021.