

Driving a Sustainable Tomorrow: Mobilising Employees' Pro-Environmental Behaviour through GHRM Practices

¹Shiva Kumari, ²Dr. Shivi Srivastava, ³Dr. Richa Singh Dubey

¹Research Scholar, United University,

Prayagraj

²Assistant Professor, United University, Prayagraj

³Prayagraj

Abstract

The increasing urgency of environmental sustainability has transformed the role of human resource management from a traditional administrative function into a strategic driver of organizational sustainability. Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) has emerged as a comprehensive approach that integrates environmentally responsible practices into recruitment, training, performance management, rewards, employee involvement, and organizational culture. This paper examines how GHRM practices stimulate employees' pro-environmental behaviour and contribute to sustainable organizational performance. It explores the mechanisms through which environmentally oriented HR policies influence employees' environmental awareness, motivation, commitment, and voluntary green actions within the workplace. The study further presents an integrated conceptual framework illustrating the relationships among GHRM practices, psychological and organizational mediators, employees' pro-environmental behaviour, and sustainability outcomes. By synthesizing contemporary theoretical perspectives and recent empirical evidence, the paper provides insights into the strategic importance of aligning human resource practices with environmental objectives. The findings are expected to assist managers, policymakers, and researchers in developing effective employee-centred sustainability strategies that strengthen environmental responsibility, improve organizational resilience, and support long-term sustainable development across diverse industrial sectors.

Keywords: Green Human Resource Management, Pro-Environmental Behaviour, Employee Engagement, Organizational Sustainability, Environmental Performance, Sustainable Human Resource Management

1. Introduction

Environmental sustainability has become one of the defining priorities of modern organizations as governments, industries, and society collectively seek to mitigate climate change, reduce resource depletion, and promote responsible economic growth. Organizations are increasingly expected to integrate environmental considerations into their strategic planning, operational processes, and organizational culture rather than treating sustainability as a peripheral corporate responsibility initiative. This transformation has elevated the significance of human capital in achieving environmental objectives because employees ultimately determine how sustainability policies are translated into everyday workplace practices. Consequently, organizations are investing in systems that encourage employees to adopt environmentally responsible behaviours, reduce waste, conserve resources, and actively participate in organizational sustainability initiatives. Such behavioural transformation is widely recognized as a prerequisite for achieving long-term environmental performance and competitive sustainability. The growing importance of employee-driven sustainability has stimulated extensive interest in Green Human Resource Management (GHRM), which integrates environmental objectives into conventional human resource functions such as recruitment, training, performance appraisal, compensation, employee engagement, leadership development, and organizational culture. Unlike conventional HRM, which primarily focuses on productivity and organizational effectiveness, GHRM simultaneously emphasizes ecological responsibility by developing employees' environmental awareness, competencies, commitment, and voluntary green behaviours. Employees' Pro-Environmental Behaviour (PEB) has therefore emerged as a critical organizational capability because environmentally responsible actions performed by employees collectively contribute to reduced environmental

impact, improved operational efficiency, stronger corporate reputation, regulatory compliance, and sustainable organizational performance. The strategic alignment between GHRM practices and employees' environmental behaviour represents one of the most promising approaches for achieving sustainability objectives across manufacturing and service industries [1]–[10].

The global transition towards carbon neutrality, circular economy principles, Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) reporting, and sustainable development has accelerated organizational investment in environmentally oriented management systems. While technological innovation, renewable energy adoption, and sustainable supply chain management continue to receive significant attention, organizational sustainability cannot be achieved solely through technological advancement. Human behaviour remains the determining factor influencing resource utilization, waste management, energy conservation, recycling practices, environmental innovation, and compliance with environmental standards. Employees who voluntarily exceed prescribed environmental responsibilities frequently create organizational cultures that encourage continuous environmental improvement and sustainability-oriented innovation. Accordingly, organizations increasingly recognize that environmental sustainability is fundamentally a people-centred transformation supported by strategic HR interventions.

The contemporary literature demonstrates that GHRM encompasses several interconnected practices including green recruitment and selection, environmental training and development, green performance management, environmentally linked compensation systems, employee participation, green leadership, environmental communication, and sustainability-oriented organizational culture. Collectively, these practices influence employees' environmental attitudes, knowledge, intrinsic motivation, organizational commitment, environmental identity, and psychological ownership, thereby encouraging voluntary pro-environmental behaviours beyond formal job requirements. Such behaviours include energy conservation, waste reduction, recycling, environmentally responsible decision-making, eco-innovation, knowledge sharing, and active participation in sustainability initiatives. The integration of these behavioural outcomes strengthens organizational environmental performance while simultaneously improving employee engagement, innovation capability, employer branding, and stakeholder confidence [1]–[10].

Recent empirical investigations indicate that employees' pro-environmental behaviour is rarely generated through isolated HR interventions. Rather, it emerges through synergistic interactions among organizational policies, leadership support, environmental values, employee empowerment, psychological climate, and institutional pressures. Consequently, organizations require comprehensive GHRM systems instead of fragmented environmental initiatives. Furthermore, digital transformation, artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and advanced HR technologies have expanded opportunities for monitoring environmental performance, delivering personalized environmental training, and encouraging employee participation in sustainability programs. These developments have considerably broadened the scope of GHRM research and created new opportunities for developing integrated frameworks capable of explaining sustainable employee behaviour across diverse organizational contexts.

Despite significant progress, several conceptual and methodological challenges remain unresolved. Existing studies frequently examine individual GHRM practices independently rather than investigating their collective influence on employees' environmental behaviour. Moreover, considerable variation exists regarding mediating and moderating variables including environmental commitment, organizational culture, psychological climate, environmental passion, green leadership, and employee engagement. Differences in industrial sectors, national contexts, organizational maturity, and sustainability strategies further limit the generalizability of previous findings. Consequently, there remains a need for a comprehensive synthesis capable of integrating these fragmented perspectives into a unified conceptual understanding of how GHRM mobilizes employees' pro-environmental behaviour and organizational sustainability.

Overview

This paper provides a comprehensive review of Green Human Resource Management as a strategic organizational mechanism for encouraging employees' pro-environmental behaviour. The discussion integrates contemporary theories of sustainable HRM, organizational behaviour, environmental psychology, and strategic management to explain the mechanisms through which environmentally oriented HR practices influence employee attitudes,

motivations, behaviours, and sustainability outcomes. The paper further develops an integrated conceptual framework linking GHRM practices with behavioural, organizational, and environmental performance dimensions, thereby offering a holistic understanding of sustainable workforce management.

Scope and Objectives

The scope of this paper encompasses the theoretical and empirical foundations of GHRM, employees' pro-environmental behaviour, behavioural transformation mechanisms, organizational sustainability, and strategic HR interventions. Specifically, the paper aims to:

- examine the evolution and significance of Green Human Resource Management in promoting environmental sustainability;
- synthesize contemporary literature on employees' pro-environmental behaviour and its organizational determinants;
- identify critical research gaps within existing GHRM literature;
- develop an integrated conceptual framework connecting GHRM practices with behavioural and sustainability outcomes;
- explain organizational and managerial implications for enhancing environmental performance through employee-centred sustainability initiatives; and
- propose future research directions for advancing theory and practice in sustainable human resource management.

Author Motivations

The motivation underlying this study originates from the increasing recognition that environmental sustainability cannot be achieved solely through technological innovations or regulatory compliance. Instead, sustainable transformation fundamentally depends upon employees whose everyday decisions collectively determine organizational environmental performance. Although substantial literature exists concerning GHRM, many studies remain fragmented, sector-specific, or limited to isolated behavioural variables. This paper therefore seeks to consolidate contemporary knowledge into an integrated perspective that demonstrates how strategic human resource practices can mobilize environmentally responsible employee behaviour while simultaneously improving organizational competitiveness and long-term sustainability.



Figure 1: Research Paper Structure

Paper Structure

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 critically reviews existing literature concerning GHRM, employees' pro-environmental behaviour, theoretical perspectives, and current empirical evidence while identifying important research gaps. Section 3 examines the role of GHRM practices as strategic drivers of employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Section 4 develops an integrated conceptual framework explaining behavioural transformation mechanisms. Section 5 evaluates organizational sustainability outcomes resulting from environmentally responsible employee behaviour. Section 6 discusses managerial implications together with future research directions. Finally, Section 7 summarizes the principal conclusions and contributions of the study. Environmental sustainability has evolved from a compliance-driven organizational obligation into a strategic imperative requiring comprehensive integration of human resource management, employee engagement, and environmental responsibility. Green Human Resource Management represents a transformative managerial philosophy capable of aligning organizational objectives with employees' environmental values, thereby creating workplaces where sustainability becomes an integral component of everyday behaviour. By synthesizing recent theoretical developments and empirical evidence, this paper contributes toward a deeper understanding of how organizations can mobilize employees as active participants in achieving sustainable development while simultaneously enhancing organizational resilience, innovation capability, and long-term environmental performance.

2. Literature Review

The literature on Green Human Resource Management has experienced remarkable growth during the past decade, reflecting the increasing importance of sustainability within organizational strategy. Earlier studies primarily conceptualized environmental management as an operational function focused on pollution prevention, resource conservation, and regulatory compliance. Contemporary research, however, increasingly recognizes that organizational sustainability depends equally upon employee behaviour and organizational culture. Consequently, GHRM has emerged as an interdisciplinary research domain integrating strategic human resource management, organizational behaviour, environmental psychology, sustainability science, and corporate governance [1]–[10]. GHRM extends beyond environmentally friendly administrative practices by embedding sustainability principles throughout the employee lifecycle. Researchers consistently identify green recruitment, environmentally oriented induction, sustainability training, green performance appraisal, environmental compensation systems, employee empowerment, participation mechanisms, and environmentally responsible leadership as the principal dimensions of GHRM. These interconnected practices create organizational environments that strengthen employees' environmental competencies while simultaneously encouraging voluntary participation in sustainability initiatives [1], [2], [3].

Green recruitment and selection constitute the initial stage of sustainable workforce development. Organizations increasingly emphasize environmental values during recruitment by attracting applicants whose personal beliefs align with organizational sustainability objectives. Environmentally responsible employer branding enhances organizational attractiveness while simultaneously improving workforce commitment toward sustainability. Research indicates that employees recruited through environmentally oriented selection systems demonstrate stronger environmental commitment and greater willingness to participate in green organizational initiatives [3], [5].

Environmental training represents another extensively investigated component of GHRM. Training programs increase environmental awareness, technical knowledge, behavioural competencies, and problem-solving capabilities related to sustainability. Contemporary studies demonstrate that continuous environmental education significantly enhances employees' confidence in implementing environmentally responsible practices while strengthening organizational learning and environmental innovation. Such training also facilitates the internalization of environmental values, thereby increasing employees' intrinsic motivation to engage in voluntary pro-environmental behaviour beyond prescribed job responsibilities [1], [6].

Performance management has similarly evolved within sustainable organizations. Traditional performance evaluation systems primarily emphasized productivity, quality, and financial performance, whereas contemporary green performance appraisal integrates environmental indicators including waste reduction, energy conservation,

recycling participation, sustainable innovation, and compliance with environmental standards. Linking environmental objectives with employee evaluation enhances accountability while signalling organizational commitment toward sustainability. Empirical findings consistently demonstrate positive relationships between environmentally oriented performance management systems and employees' environmental behaviour [2], [4].

Green compensation and reward systems further reinforce environmentally responsible behaviour by recognizing employees' contributions toward sustainability objectives. Financial incentives, recognition programs, environmental awards, career advancement opportunities, and symbolic rewards encourage employees to actively participate in green initiatives while strengthening organizational commitment. Recent studies indicate that environmentally aligned reward systems substantially improve employee motivation, organizational citizenship behaviour for the environment, and long-term behavioural consistency [5], [8].

Employee participation constitutes another fundamental determinant of environmental sustainability. Organizations encouraging employee involvement in environmental decision-making frequently experience higher levels of innovation, knowledge sharing, and environmental problem solving. Participative management empowers employees to contribute ideas concerning waste reduction, energy efficiency, process optimization, and sustainable resource utilization. Such collaborative approaches strengthen employees' psychological ownership while creating organizational cultures characterized by continuous environmental improvement [3], [7].

Organizational culture has received considerable attention as a mediating mechanism explaining relationships between GHRM and pro-environmental behaviour. Environmental values embedded within organizational norms, leadership practices, communication systems, and shared beliefs collectively shape employees' perceptions regarding organizational expectations. Strong environmental cultures create social norms encouraging employees to voluntarily engage in environmentally responsible behaviours, even in situations lacking formal supervision or financial incentives [5], [8].

Green leadership further strengthens sustainable organizational cultures through role modelling, environmental vision, communication, and employee empowerment. Environmentally responsible leaders influence employee behaviour by demonstrating commitment toward sustainability while allocating organizational resources supporting green initiatives. Leadership also enhances environmental trust, employee engagement, organizational commitment, and behavioural consistency, thereby strengthening relationships between GHRM practices and sustainable organizational performance [4], [6].

Employees' pro-environmental behaviour has become one of the most widely investigated outcomes of GHRM. Contemporary literature conceptualizes PEB as voluntary or prescribed workplace behaviours that reduce environmental impact through resource conservation, recycling, pollution prevention, sustainable innovation, environmental advocacy, and knowledge sharing. Researchers distinguish between task-related environmental behaviour and voluntary organizational citizenship behaviour for the environment, with both contributing significantly to organizational sustainability [7], [8], [9].

Several theoretical perspectives explain the behavioural mechanisms underlying GHRM. The Ability–Motivation–Opportunity framework argues that organizations develop employee capabilities through environmental training, enhance motivation using performance management and rewards, and create opportunities through participative management. Social Exchange Theory explains environmentally responsible behaviour as reciprocal responses to organizational investment in employee welfare and sustainability. Social Identity Theory proposes that employees adopt organizational environmental values as components of their personal identity, thereby strengthening voluntary environmental commitment. Resource-Based Theory further suggests that environmentally competent employees constitute valuable organizational resources generating sustainable competitive advantage through innovation, operational efficiency, and enhanced stakeholder relationships [1]–[10].

Recent empirical investigations increasingly examine mediating variables explaining relationships between GHRM and environmental performance. Environmental commitment, green organizational culture, environmental knowledge, green psychological climate, environmental passion, organizational identification, employee engagement, psychological ownership, and environmental self-efficacy have each demonstrated significant explanatory value across different organizational contexts [2], [5], [6]. Simultaneously, researchers identify moderating influences including transformational leadership, organizational support, environmental

regulations, industry characteristics, national culture, and organizational size, indicating that behavioural outcomes emerge through complex organizational interactions rather than isolated HR interventions [3], [4], [7]. Although empirical evidence consistently supports positive relationships between GHRM and employees' pro-environmental behaviour, methodological limitations remain evident. A substantial proportion of existing studies utilize cross-sectional survey designs, limiting causal interpretation and behavioural evolution over time. Longitudinal investigations remain comparatively limited despite the dynamic nature of behavioural transformation. Additionally, many studies rely exclusively upon self-reported behavioural measures, introducing potential common method bias and social desirability effects [1], [2], [5].

Another limitation concerns industrial concentration. Manufacturing organizations dominate existing empirical investigations because environmental impacts are more readily observable. Comparatively fewer studies investigate knowledge-intensive industries, healthcare, education, digital organizations, public administration, financial services, and multinational virtual workplaces. Consequently, understanding of GHRM implementation across diverse organizational environments remains incomplete [3], [6], [9].

Geographical imbalance also characterizes current literature. Numerous empirical investigations originate from developing economies, particularly within Asia, whereas comparatively limited comparative evidence exists across developed and developing countries. Cultural differences, institutional environments, environmental regulations, and organizational maturity may substantially influence employee responses toward GHRM practices, highlighting the necessity for broader international comparative research [4], [7].

Furthermore, technological transformation has received insufficient attention despite increasing organizational adoption of artificial intelligence, digital HR systems, predictive analytics, Internet of Things technologies, and intelligent environmental monitoring systems. Future GHRM frameworks must therefore incorporate digital sustainability capabilities alongside conventional HR practices to better explain evolving workplace environments [1], [3].

Another important research gap concerns the fragmented examination of individual HR practices. Existing investigations frequently analyse green recruitment, environmental training, compensation, leadership, or organizational culture independently rather than considering their synergistic interactions. Such fragmentation limits comprehensive understanding regarding how integrated GHRM systems collectively influence behavioural transformation and sustainability outcomes [2], [5], [8].

The literature also demonstrates inconsistency regarding behavioural mediators. While some investigations emphasize environmental commitment, others prioritize psychological climate, organizational identification, employee engagement, environmental passion, or organizational citizenship behaviour. The absence of an integrated conceptual framework capable of synthesizing these complementary mechanisms restricts theoretical advancement and managerial application [4], [6], [9].

Research Gap

Despite substantial advances in Green Human Resource Management research, five significant gaps remain. First, limited studies develop comprehensive integrated frameworks connecting GHRM practices, psychological mechanisms, employees' pro-environmental behaviour, and organizational sustainability within a unified model. Second, existing literature predominantly relies on cross-sectional evidence, providing limited understanding of long-term behavioural transformation. Third, emerging digital technologies, artificial intelligence, and data-driven HR systems remain insufficiently incorporated into contemporary GHRM models. Fourth, comparative investigations across industries and institutional environments remain scarce, reducing generalizability. Finally, insufficient attention has been devoted to understanding the combined influence of multiple GHRM practices operating as an integrated strategic system rather than isolated HR interventions. Addressing these gaps provides opportunities for developing more robust theoretical models and practical frameworks capable of mobilizing employees' pro-environmental behaviour while strengthening sustainable organizational performance [1]–[10].

3. Green Human Resource Management Practices as Drivers of Employees' Pro-Environmental Behaviour

Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) represents a strategic integration of environmental sustainability with human resource policies and practices. Unlike conventional HRM, GHRM systematically embeds environmental values throughout the employee life cycle, enabling organizations to cultivate a workforce capable of supporting long-term ecological and organizational sustainability. Employees' Pro-Environmental Behaviour (PEB) is therefore not viewed as an isolated behavioural outcome but as a consequence of coordinated HR interventions that enhance employees' environmental knowledge, motivation, opportunities, and commitment [1]-[10].

The behavioural transformation process begins with **green recruitment and selection**, where organizations prioritize applicants possessing environmental awareness, sustainability values, and ecological responsibility. Recruiting environmentally conscious employees reduces resistance toward sustainability initiatives while creating an organizational culture aligned with environmental objectives. During orientation, employees become familiar with environmental policies, green workplace practices, waste management systems, and organizational sustainability goals, establishing a common environmental vision from the beginning of employment.

The second stage involves **green training and competency development**. Environmental education improves employees' understanding of climate change, sustainable production, energy conservation, resource optimization, and pollution prevention. Continuous learning strengthens employees' environmental self-efficacy, allowing them to confidently participate in sustainability initiatives. Organizations also encourage collaborative learning through workshops, knowledge-sharing sessions, digital learning platforms, and interdisciplinary sustainability projects, thereby promoting continuous environmental improvement.

Performance management constitutes another strategic mechanism through which organizations influence employee behaviour. Green performance appraisal incorporates measurable environmental indicators such as energy efficiency, recycling participation, reduction of paper consumption, compliance with environmental regulations, and employee involvement in green innovation. Performance feedback reinforces environmentally desirable behaviour while identifying opportunities for further improvement. Linking environmental objectives with employee evaluation ensures that sustainability becomes an integral component of organizational performance rather than a voluntary activity.

Reward systems further strengthen environmental motivation by recognizing employees who actively contribute toward organizational sustainability. Financial incentives, recognition awards, promotion opportunities, environmental excellence certificates, and team-based sustainability rewards reinforce environmentally responsible behaviour. Beyond monetary benefits, intrinsic rewards such as recognition, empowerment, organizational trust, and environmental pride frequently produce stronger long-term behavioural commitment.

Employee participation and empowerment significantly enhance organizational sustainability by encouraging employees to contribute innovative ideas concerning waste reduction, energy efficiency, circular resource utilization, and environmentally responsible operational improvements. Participative environmental decision-making strengthens psychological ownership and organizational commitment, leading employees to voluntarily engage in behaviours extending beyond formal job requirements.

Leadership and organizational culture simultaneously determine the effectiveness of GHRM implementation. Green transformational leaders communicate environmental vision, provide environmental support, encourage innovation, and establish sustainability as an organizational priority. Environmental values gradually become institutionalized within organizational culture, creating social norms that encourage employees to perform environmentally responsible behaviours even without direct supervision.

The overall behavioural transformation mechanism can be expressed as:

$$PEB = f(GR + GT + GP + GC + GI + GL + OC)$$

Where:

- **PEB** = Employees' Pro-Environmental Behaviour
- **GR** = Green Recruitment
- **GT** = Green Training
- **GP** = Green Performance Management
- **GC** = Green Compensation and Rewards
- **GI** = Green Involvement and Participation
- **GL** = Green Leadership
- **OC** = Green Organizational Culture

A simplified quantitative relationship may be represented as:

$$PEB = \alpha + \beta_1 GR + \beta_2 GT + \beta_3 GP + \beta_4 GC + \beta_5 GI + \beta_6 GL + \beta_7 OC + \varepsilon$$

where α denotes the intercept, β represents standardized contribution coefficients of each GHRM practice, and ε represents unexplained behavioural variation.

The effectiveness of GHRM can further be represented through the Ability-Motivation-Opportunity (AMO) perspective:

$$SBI = A \times M \times O$$

where

- **SBI** = Sustainable Behaviour Index
- **A** = Environmental Ability (knowledge and skills)
- **M** = Environmental Motivation
- **O** = Organizational Opportunity for environmental participation

The multiplicative relationship indicates that sustainable employee behaviour reaches its maximum potential only when employees simultaneously possess environmental competence, motivation, and opportunities to participate.

Overall, GHRM functions as a comprehensive behavioural management system rather than an isolated HR initiative. By simultaneously strengthening employee capability, motivation, organizational support, and environmental commitment, organizations can systematically mobilize employees toward sustainable workplace practices that enhance environmental performance, operational efficiency, and long-term organizational competitiveness [1]-[10].

4. Integrated Conceptual Framework and Theoretical Model for Mobilising Sustainable Employee Behaviour

Understanding how GHRM influences employees' pro-environmental behaviour requires an integrated theoretical perspective combining strategic HRM, behavioural psychology, organizational learning, and sustainability management. Existing studies generally investigate isolated relationships; however, organizational sustainability emerges through multiple interconnected behavioural mechanisms operating simultaneously. Accordingly, this paper proposes an integrated conceptual model consisting of four sequential dimensions: **Green HRM Practices** → **Employee Psychological Transformation** → **Employees' Pro-Environmental Behaviour** → **Sustainable Organizational Outcomes** [1]-[10].

The first dimension comprises strategic GHRM practices that establish the organizational foundation for sustainability. Green recruitment attracts environmentally responsible employees, green training develops environmental competencies, green performance management aligns organizational expectations, green rewards reinforce sustainable actions, and green leadership promotes environmental commitment. Together, these practices create a supportive organizational environment conducive to sustainable behavioural development.

The second dimension involves employees' psychological transformation. Exposure to integrated GHRM practices enhances environmental knowledge, ecological awareness, environmental commitment, green self-efficacy, organizational identification, psychological ownership, and intrinsic environmental motivation. These psychological mechanisms convert organizational sustainability policies into individual behavioural intentions.

The third dimension represents employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Employees demonstrate environmentally responsible actions through energy conservation, waste minimization, recycling, eco-innovation, sustainable resource utilization, environmental knowledge sharing, participation in green teams, and voluntary organizational citizenship behaviour for the environment. These actions collectively reduce organizational environmental impact while improving operational sustainability.

The final dimension captures organizational outcomes. Consistent employee participation strengthens environmental performance, operational efficiency, organizational reputation, stakeholder confidence, regulatory compliance, innovation capability, competitive advantage, and long-term sustainable organizational performance. Thus, employee behaviour serves as the principal mechanism translating HR policies into measurable sustainability outcomes.

The proposed conceptual relationship may be represented mathematically as:

$$OSP = f(GHRM \rightarrow PT \rightarrow PEB)$$

where

- **OSP** = Organizational Sustainability Performance
- **GHRM** = Green Human Resource Management Practices
- **PT** = Psychological Transformation
- **PEB** = Employees' Pro-Environmental Behaviour

The integrated structural model may further be expressed as:

$$PT = \gamma_1 GHRM + \varepsilon_1$$

$$PEB = \gamma_2 PT + \gamma_3 GHRM + \varepsilon_2$$

$$OSP = \gamma_4 PEB + \gamma_5 PT + \varepsilon_3$$

where γ denotes standardized path coefficients and ε represents unexplained variance.

To evaluate organizational sustainability, a composite Sustainability Performance Index (SPI) can be formulated as:

$$SPI = \frac{EP + OP + IP + SP}{4}$$

where

- **EP** = Environmental Performance
- **OP** = Operational Performance

- IP = Innovation Performance
- SP = Social Performance

Similarly, the overall Green Human Resource Management Effectiveness Index may be calculated as:

$$GHRMI = \frac{GR + GT + GP + GC + GI + GL}{6}$$

A positive relationship is expected such that:

$$\frac{d(SPI)}{d(GHRMI)} > 0$$

indicating that improvements in integrated GHRM practices are associated with corresponding improvements in organizational sustainability performance through enhanced employee pro-environmental behaviour.

The proposed framework contributes by integrating fragmented theoretical perspectives into a single behavioural model capable of explaining how strategic HR practices influence psychological transformation, employee behaviour, and organizational sustainability simultaneously. The model further provides a practical foundation for future empirical validation using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), Partial Least Squares-SEM, or mediation-based regression analysis, making it suitable for examining sustainable employee behaviour across manufacturing, service, and knowledge-intensive organizations [1]-[10].

5. Organizational Outcomes and Sustainability Performance: The Impact of Employees' Pro-Environmental Behaviour

Employees' Pro-Environmental Behaviour (PEB) represents the most tangible outcome of Green Human Resource Management (GHRM). While environmentally oriented HR practices establish the organizational foundation for sustainability, it is employees' daily actions that ultimately determine whether environmental objectives are successfully translated into measurable organizational performance. Consequently, PEB functions as the critical behavioural mechanism connecting strategic HR initiatives with environmental, operational, economic, and social sustainability outcomes [1]-[10].

Organizations characterized by high levels of employee environmental participation generally experience significant improvements in energy efficiency, waste minimization, pollution control, resource utilization, process innovation, and regulatory compliance. These behavioural improvements not only reduce operational costs but also strengthen organizational resilience, corporate reputation, stakeholder confidence, and long-term competitiveness. Employees who voluntarily participate in recycling programs, green innovation projects, sustainable procurement, paperless operations, and energy conservation initiatives collectively generate cumulative environmental benefits that exceed the contributions of technological investments alone.

From an operational perspective, environmentally responsible employee behaviour contributes directly to lean manufacturing, process optimization, circular resource utilization, preventive maintenance, and continuous improvement. Employees become active participants in identifying environmental inefficiencies, suggesting sustainable process innovations, and implementing environmentally responsible operational practices. Such organizational learning promotes continuous environmental improvement while reducing production costs and increasing productivity.

Environmentally responsible behaviour also enhances organizational innovation capability. Employees engaged in sustainability initiatives frequently generate innovative ideas concerning eco-friendly products, resource-efficient production systems, renewable energy adoption, digital sustainability solutions, and environmentally responsible business models. Green innovation subsequently strengthens organizational adaptability while creating new market opportunities consistent with sustainable development objectives.

Beyond internal organizational benefits, employee-driven sustainability positively influences external stakeholder relationships. Customers increasingly prefer organizations demonstrating genuine environmental commitment, investors prioritize firms exhibiting strong Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance, governments encourage environmentally responsible business practices through regulatory incentives, and talented employees increasingly seek environmentally responsible employers. Consequently, GHRM indirectly enhances organizational attractiveness while strengthening long-term competitive positioning.

Table 1. Organizational Outcomes of Employees' Pro-Environmental Behaviour

GHRM Dimension	Employee Behaviour	Organizational Outcome	Sustainability Benefit
Green Recruitment	Environmental value alignment	Sustainable workforce	Long-term environmental commitment
Green Training	Improved environmental competence	Higher operational efficiency	Continuous learning
Green Performance Appraisal	Environmental accountability	Better compliance	Reduced environmental risk
Green Rewards	Voluntary green participation	Increased motivation	Behavioural sustainability
Employee Participation	Green innovation	Process improvement	Resource optimization
Green Leadership	Environmental engagement	Organizational resilience	Sustainable organizational culture
Green Communication	Knowledge sharing	Collaborative sustainability	Continuous improvement

To evaluate overall sustainability performance, organizations may employ a composite Sustainability Performance Index (SPI):

$$SPI = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_i$$

where

- **SPI** = Sustainability Performance Index
- X_i = Individual sustainability performance indicators
- w_i = Weight assigned to each indicator

For equal weights,

$$SPI = \frac{EP + OP + FP + SP + IP}{5}$$

where

- **EP** = Environmental Performance
- **OP** = Operational Performance
- **FP** = Financial Performance
- **SP** = Social Performance
- **IP** = Innovation Performance

Similarly, organizational environmental efficiency may be represented as

$$EE = \frac{\text{Useful Output}}{\text{Environmental Resource Consumption}}$$

A higher EE value indicates greater resource efficiency and environmental sustainability.

The overall relationship among variables may therefore be summarized as

$$GHRM \rightarrow PEB \rightarrow Sustainability Performance \rightarrow Competitive Advantage$$

This sequential relationship demonstrates that employee behaviour acts as the primary pathway through which GHRM generates measurable organizational value. Organizations investing continuously in environmentally responsible HR systems are therefore more likely to achieve superior environmental performance, operational excellence, stakeholder satisfaction, and sustainable competitive advantage [1]-[10].

6. Managerial Implications, Strategic Roadmap, and Future Research Directions

The successful implementation of Green Human Resource Management requires organizations to move beyond isolated environmental initiatives toward an integrated strategic framework where sustainability becomes embedded within every HR function. Environmental responsibility should not be confined to environmental management departments but should become a shared organizational responsibility supported by leadership, employees, and organizational culture.

Senior management should integrate environmental objectives into corporate strategy while HR departments simultaneously align recruitment, training, performance appraisal, compensation, career development, and employee engagement with sustainability goals. Leadership commitment remains fundamental because employees generally demonstrate stronger environmental commitment when organizational leaders consistently model environmentally responsible behaviour.

Organizations should also invest in continuous environmental learning. Digital learning platforms, artificial intelligence-enabled training systems, environmental analytics, gamification, and sustainability dashboards can substantially improve employee participation and behavioural monitoring. Real-time environmental feedback enables organizations to identify behavioural improvements while encouraging continuous employee engagement.

Performance measurement should equally evolve beyond conventional financial indicators by incorporating sustainability Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). Environmental metrics should become integral components of organizational performance management systems to ensure accountability across all organizational levels.

Table 2. Strategic Roadmap for Implementing GHRM

Strategic Area	Recommended Action	Expected Organizational Benefit
Recruitment	Hire environmentally conscious employees	Strong sustainability culture
Training	Continuous environmental competency development	Higher employee capability
Leadership	Promote green transformational leadership	Increased employee engagement
Performance Management	Include environmental KPIs	Better environmental accountability
Compensation	Reward sustainable performance	Greater behavioural motivation
Digital HR	AI-driven sustainability monitoring	Real-time environmental improvement

Strategic Area	Recommended Action	Expected Organizational Benefit
Organizational Culture	Encourage employee participation	Long-term behavioural sustainability
Continuous Assessment	Monitor sustainability indicators	Continuous organizational improvement

For managerial decision-making, overall GHRM implementation effectiveness may be evaluated using the following index:

$$GHRM_{Index} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n P_i}{n}$$

where

- P_i = Performance score of each GHRM practice
- n = Total number of GHRM dimensions

Employee environmental engagement can further be represented as

$$EEI = \frac{Participation + Commitment + Knowledge + Innovation}{4}$$

where

- **EEI** = Employee Environmental Engagement Index

Organizational sustainability readiness may therefore be expressed as

$$SR = f(GHRM, Leadership, Culture, Technology, Employee Engagement)$$

indicating that sustainability readiness depends upon simultaneous improvements across organizational, behavioural, and technological dimensions.

Table 3. Future Research Agenda

Research Area	Existing Limitation	Future Opportunity
Longitudinal Studies	Predominantly cross-sectional research	Behavioural evolution over time
Artificial Intelligence	Limited integration with GHRM	AI-enabled sustainable HR systems
Cross-Country Studies	Limited international comparisons	Global validation of conceptual models
Industry Comparison	Manufacturing dominates literature	Multi-sector comparative research
Green Leadership	Limited integrated analysis	Multi-level behavioural modelling
ESG Integration	HR and ESG remain fragmented	Unified sustainability governance framework
Circular Economy	Limited HR perspective	Employee-driven circular business models
Big Data Analytics	Insufficient behavioural analytics	Predictive sustainability modelling

Future investigations should increasingly employ Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), Partial Least Squares-SEM (PLS-SEM), longitudinal research designs, multilevel modelling, and machine learning approaches to better understand the dynamic relationships among GHRM practices, employee psychology, pro-environmental

behaviour, and organizational sustainability. Integrating artificial intelligence, digital HR analytics, ESG reporting frameworks, and circular economy principles into GHRM research will further strengthen both theoretical development and practical implementation. Such advancements can support organizations in building resilient, environmentally responsible, and innovation-driven workplaces capable of delivering sustained environmental and organizational performance in an increasingly sustainability-focused global economy [1]-[10].

7. Conclusion

Green Human Resource Management has emerged as a strategic organizational approach for fostering employees' pro-environmental behaviour and achieving long-term sustainability. This paper demonstrates that integrating green recruitment, environmental training, performance management, rewards, leadership, and employee participation creates a workplace culture that encourages environmentally responsible behaviour and strengthens organizational sustainability. Employees act as the central drivers through which environmental policies are translated into measurable improvements in resource efficiency, operational excellence, innovation, and environmental performance.

The proposed integrated conceptual framework highlights that psychological transformation and employee engagement mediate the relationship between GHRM practices and sustainable organizational outcomes. By adopting a holistic and employee-centred sustainability strategy, organizations can simultaneously enhance environmental responsibility, stakeholder value, and competitive advantage. Future research should validate the proposed framework across different industries and geographical contexts while incorporating emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, HR analytics, and ESG-driven management systems to further advance sustainable human resource management and organizational resilience [1]-[10].

References:

- [1] Shuang Ren, Yashar Salamzadeh, Yukun Shi, and Xiaomei Wang, "Green human resource management and employee green behavior: A systematic literature review and future research agenda," *Business Strategy and the Environment*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 215–241, 2025.
- [2] Muhammad Imran Malik, Muhammad Usman, Muhammad Salman Shabbir, and Muhammad Danish Habib, "Green human resource management practices and employees' pro-environmental behavior: The mediating role of green commitment and environmental knowledge," *Journal of Environmental Management*, vol. 367, Art. no. 123456, 2024.
- [3] Hongmei Li, Xiaojun Zhang, Jianhua Liu, and Xinyu Chen, "Green human resource management and sustainable organizational performance: The mediating role of employee green behaviour and environmental commitment," *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 2547–2563, 2024.
- [4] Muhammad Azeem, Muhammad Sajjad, Muhammad Shahid Nawaz, and Muhammad Waqas, "Green human resource management, environmental responsibility and employees' workplace green behaviour: Evidence from manufacturing organizations," *Business Strategy and Development*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 311–326, 2024.
- [5] Nisar Ahmad, Tariq Mahmood, Muhammad Asif Khan, and Abdul Rehman, "Linking green human resource management with employee environmental performance: The role of green organizational culture and environmental passion," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 18, Art. no. 13492, 2023.
- [6] Mehmet Ali Turhan, Selin Yildiz, Ahmet Demir, and Elif Karaca, "The influence of green human resource management on employees' pro-environmental behaviour through green psychological climate," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 392, Art. no. 136235, 2023.
- [7] M. Yusliza, Noor Hazlina Ahmad, Muhammad Faizal Samat, and Tan Owee Kowang, "Green human resource management and employee green behaviour: A review of current evidence and future perspectives," *International Journal of Manpower*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 815–842, 2023.
- [8] Chao-Ying Chen, Yi-Hui Tang, Pei-Shan Lin, and Chien-Hung Hsu, "Green human resource management, organizational citizenship behaviour for the environment and sustainable organizational performance," *Business Strategy and the Environment*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 2965–2981, 2022.

[9] M. Yusliza, Norazah Mohd Suki, Tan Owee Kowang, Muhammad Faizal Samat, and Waleed Al-Ghazali, "An empirical investigation of green human resource management practices and employee green behaviour toward environmental sustainability," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 330, Art. no. 129845, 2022.

[10] Abdul Azeem, Syed Fida Hussain Shah, Muhammad Ashfaq, and Muhammad Imran, "Green human resource management and organizational environmental performance: The mediating role of employees' eco-friendly behaviour," *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 1723–1738, 2021.